

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSICKER OF ATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

The state of the s			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 02/16/2001	FIRST NAMED INVESTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 0623.1040001/EKS/PSC/TAC	

26111 7590 12/23/2002

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934

	EXA	MINER			
MELLER, MICHAEL V					
ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- I Apr	olication No.	Ap	plicant(s)	
		09/784,005		VINSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		aminer	A	t Unit	
			16	554	
The MAILING DATE of this c	IVIC	on the cover s	heet with the corr	espondence ad	dress
The MAILING DATE of this c	оттипісацоп арреата	on the term			
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	DIOD FOR REPLY IS	SET TO EXPIR	RE 3 MONTH(S)	FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date or if the period for reply specified above, the if NO period for reply sapedied above, the Failure to reply within the scale above, the Any reply received by the Office later than this earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.	provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If this communication, an thirty (30) days, a reply with aximum statutory period will ap od for reply will, by statute, cau-	in the statutory minim	num of thirty (30) days w X (6) MONTHS from the	ill be considered timel mailing date of this o	ly. ommunication.
		nher 2002 .			
1)⊠ Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 18 Oct	action is non-fin	nal.		
2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL.			-1 Hore pro	secution as to t	he merits is
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in closed in accordance with	condition for allowand the practice under Ex	parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
m: ition of Claims					
13-25 and 13-25	5 is/are pending in the	application.	ntion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrawn	from consider	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	ved.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-7, 13-25</u> is	/are rejected.				
inforcable	cted to.				
7) Claim(s) israle objection = 1	t to restriction and/or	election require	ement.		
Application Papers					
	ed to by the Examiner.				
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) 🗌 objec	ted to by the Exa	miner.	·a)
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request	that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFK 1.030	miner
CT	rection filed on	15. a) L app. 5		oved by the Exam	minor.
is approved corrected dray	wings are required in rep	iy to tine eme	ection.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	e of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c)	None of:				
	· · · ierity document	s have been re	ceived.		
				tion No	.•
				ved in this Nati	onal Stage
annication in	III tilo ilitorii	cut setificed	conies not recel	vea.	
The second secon	of a claim for domes!	IC DITOTILY UTION	1 00 0.0 3		nonal application,
a) ☐ The translation of the	e foreign language pr	ovisional applic	cation has been r	eceived.	
a) ☐ The translation of the translation of the state of	e of a claim for domes	, ,			
Attachment(s)		A	C daw Cumn	on (PTO-413) Pa	per No(s)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson	392) awing Review (PTO-948)	16 5)	Notice of Inform	nal Patent Applicati	on (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/784,005

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

(a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

(b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

(1) Field of the Invention.

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet). (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A

"Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Application/Control Number: 09/784,005

Art Unit: 1654

At a minimum, applicant must insert the heading "Brief description of the Drawings" or cancel the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwasaki et al. '98 (ref. AT3), Carter et al., Ohigashi et al., Kitamura et al., Tsuji et al. (ref. AT5), Yamaue et al., Iwasaki et al. '95 or Takahashi.

Applicant argues that because of the language "consisting essentialy of" that their claims are now free of the prior art of record but applicant is reminded of MPEP 2111.03:

For the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to "comprising," See, e.g., PPG, 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ2d at 1355 ("PPG could have defined the scope of the phrase consisting essentially of for purposes of its patent by making clear in its specification what it essentially of see as constituting a material change in the basic and novel characteristics of the invention."). See also In re Janakirama-Rao, 317 F.2d 951, 954, 137 USPQ 893, 895-96 (CCPA 1963). If an applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. In re De Lajarte, 337 F.2d

Application/Control Number: 09/784,005 Art Unit: 1654

870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964). See also Ex parte Hoffman, 12 USPQ2d 1061, 1063-64 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989) ("Although consisting essentially of is typically used and defined in the context of compositions of matter, we find nothing intrinsically wrong with the use of such language as a modifier of method steps. . [rendering] the claim open only for the inclusion of steps which do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed method. To determine the steps included versus excluded the claim must be read in light of the specification. . . . [I]t is an applicant's burden to establish that a step practiced in a prior art method is excluded from his claims by consisting essentially of language.").

Thus, the claims can be still read as "comprising". Thus, the references still read on the claims. It is also noted that applicant also adds in his composition therapeutically active agents and the like in addition to the angiotensin, see page 11, top, of the instant specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwasaki et al. '98 (ref. AT3), Carter et al., Ohigashi et al., Kitamura et al., Tsuji et al. (ref. AT5), Iwasaki et al. '95 or Takahashi in view of Yamaue et al.

The references teach the claimed invention for the reasons of record.

Applicant still argues about the limitation of "consisting essentialy of" and the above comments are reiterated herein.

The teachings of the references are of record. Since Yamaue teaches that lung cancer is treated by angiotensin, then it clearly would have been within the purview of the skilled artisan to treat someone suffering from lung cancer with angiotensin.

Application/Control Number: 09/784,005

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaue et al.

The reference teaches the claimed invention for the reasons of record.

Applicant still argues about the limitation of "consisting essentialy of" and the above comments are reiterated herein.

The teachings of the reference are of record. Since Yamaue teaches that lung cancer is treated by angiotensin, then it clearly would have been within the purview of the skilled artisan to treat someone suffering from lung cancer with angiotensin.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

· Application/Control Number: 09/784,005

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM December 20, 2002